Key Findings

Key findings

- Deca breaks down and is a problem
- TSCA is a failure- the system isn't protective
- Not enough data required before new chemicals are approved
- State cannot access results of studies that are done

Key findings

- We have a responsibility to protect the public
- There are some promising alternatives to deca but we don't know all the impacts
- Costs and benefits may be large but there are big data gaps

PBDE Chemical Action Plan Policy Options

Product Ban Options

- Ban all forms of PBDE in electronic enclosures
- Ban penta and octa BDE in all products
- Ban deca in products not yet using deca currently used
- Ban deca in electronic enclosures as soon as a safer alternative is found

Product Labeling

- Label all products containing any form of PBDE
- Label electronic enclosures containing PBDE
- Label products containing deca
- Label products that do NOT contain PBDE

Incentives

- Decrease the tax on products that do NOT contain PBDE
- Increase the tax on products that DO contain PBDE
- Create tax incentives to encourage redesign of PBDE containing products
- Create other incentives to encourage voluntary shift to non PBDE products

Further Analysis

- Deca alternatives assessment continues/ gap filling
- Explore the potential for banning deca in products where it is not currently used

Further Analysis, cont.

- Monitoring
 - ☐ House dust
 - Biomonitoring
 - Leachability
 - Baseline environmental condition
 - □ Exposure pathways

Chemical Policy

- Influence national policy / TSCA revisions
 - □ REACH type initiative
 - ☐ HPV revisions
- Explore state only options
 - Provisions to allow access to needed data

Education

- Dust control via existing DOH initiatives
- Web site to identify products that are PBDE free- for consumers
- Web site to identify products that are PBDE free- for retailers

No Action

- No ban
- No further study
- Complete the CAP and end-of-life work
- Monitor literature and developments in other jurisdictions